The learning curve in Knee Arthroplasty when changing prosthesis Jean-Noel Argenson, Matthieu Ollivier, Xavier Flecher, Sebastien Parratte

> Institute for Locomotion Sainte Marguerite Hospital, Marseille, France



 7th Advanced Course on

 Knee Surgery

 January

 14th – 18th

 2018

 Val d'Isère - France



WHY CHANGING ?





Changing for innovation



INNOVATIONS IN THE 21st CENURY



Long Term Results of Innovations







Innovations for the Orthopedic Surgeon !



New Patient Expectations

Copyright © 2001 by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated

Patients' Expectations of Knee Surgery

BY CAROL A. MANCUSO, MD, THOMAS P. SCULCO, MD, THOMAS L. WICKIEWICZ, MD, EDWARD C. JONES, MD, LAURA ROBBINS, DSW, RUSSELL F. WARREN, MD, AND PAMELA WILLIAMS-RUSSO, MD, MPH

Investigation performed at the Outcomes Unit, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY

CLINICAL ORTHOPAEDICS AND RELATED RESEARCH Number 404, pp. 172–188 © 2002 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

What Functional Activities Are Important to Patients With Knee Replacements?

Jennifer M. Weiss, MD*; Philip C. Noble, PhD*; Michael A. Conditt, PhD** Harold W. Kohl, PhD*; Seth Roberts, BS*; Karon F. Cook, PhD*; Michael J. Gordon, MD*; and Kenneth B. Mathis, MD*



Consequences for Arthroplasty?







Give The Correct Message





What else ?





Innovations in Research for design

A full load (2 X BW) physiologic lunge activity was simulated using a KUKA KR500

Knee : Six degrees freedom rig

Argenson and

O'Connor, 1990

6 degrees of freedom robotic arm: Forcetorque control were taken from joint kinetics from live patients during the lunge activity

2010



CONCEPTS IN STABILITY & FIXATION WITH & WITHOUT CRUCIATES





Peter Walker PhD, John Insall MD, Chit Ranawat MD Hospital for Special Surgery 1970-1976

VMMC

Transmission





Improving Design of TKA





Design Considerations Related to Anatomy or Kinematics ?



Richard D. Komistek, Ph.D. University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN



> 21 distincts femoral profiles



Optimizing standard design for a Personalized solution







 Align Implant AP axis and Distal plane normal to bone projected AP axis and resection <u>plane normal</u>

Intra-operative Continuum of Stability



Change for "Intelligent" Instrument



Changes for me

- 1. Do not change saw for chamfer cuts
- 2. No additional box cut guide
- 3. No compromise for tibia positioning
- 4. No manipulation for insert change









Lessons from the past







638

COPYRIGHT © 2012 BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED

The Outcome of Rotating-Platform Total Knee Arthroplasty with Cement at a Minimum of Ten Years of Follow-up

Jean-Noel A. Argenson, MD, Sebastien Parratte, MD, Abdullah Ashour, MD, Bertrand Saintmard, MD, and Jean-Manuel Aubaniac, MD

Investigation performed at the Aix-Marseille University, Center for Arthritis Surgery, Marseille, France

63	9	
THE JOURNAL OF BONE & JOINT SURGERY · JBJS.ORG VOLUME 94-A · NUMBER 7 · APRIL 4, 2012	ROTATING-PLATFORM TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY AT MINIMUM OF TEN YEARS OF FOLLOW-UP	WITH CEMENT
Long term	studies	NOR LA MEDITERRAN

PROMS



Learning Curve for New Technology?

A Nationwide Register-Based Study of 46,363 Total Knee Arthroplasties

Mikko Peltola, MSc, Antti Malmivaara, MD, PhD, and Mika Paavola, MD, PhD

Investigation performed at the National Institute for Health and Welfare, Centre for Health and Social Economics, Helsinki, Finland

Methods: We studied register data from all seventy-five surgical units that performed knee arthroplasty in Finland from 1998 to 2007. Of 54,925 patients (66,098 knees), 39,528 patients (46,363 knees) underwent arthroplasty for osteoarthritis of the knee with the ten most common total knee implants and were followed with complete data until December 31, 2010, or the time of death. We used a Cox proportional-hazards regression model for calculating the hazard ratios for early revision for the first fifteen arthroplasties and subsequent increments of numbers of arthroplasties.



Risk of early revision when knee prosthesis introduced into practice



Differences in short term survival

	3-Year Survival	95% CI
All operations	97.1	96.9-97.2
Duracon	97.1	96.8-97.3
AGC V2	96.5	96.1-96.9
PFC Sigma	97.6	97.2-97.9
NexGen LPS	97.6	97.0-98.1
NexGen CR	98.0	97.4-98.4
PFC Sigma Stabilized	97.0	96.3-97.6
Triathlon CR	97.2	96.5-97.8
Arge	98.0	97.2-98.5
Maxim Primary	95.6	94.6-96.5
Vanguard CR	94.7	93.0-96.0



Differences in learning curve

(95% confidence interval [CI]: 3.07, 17.56), indicating the first fifteen patients treated with the implant in a hospital had a risk for revision surgery within the following three years that was over seven times higher than that of patients treated with the same implant after it has been used in the hospital in >100 knee arthroplasties. During the study period, the implant



Learning Curve for New Technology? A Nationwide Register-Based Study of 46,363 Total Knee Arthroplasties

Mikko Peltola, MSc, Antti Malmivaara, MD, PhD, and Mika Paavola, MD, PhD

Investigation performed at the National Institute for Health and Welfare, Centre for Health and Social Economics, Helsinki, Finland

- Higher risk of revision for the first 30 implants with one prosthesis
- Surgical techniques for new implants should be practiced with models or cadavers before onto patients



The IDEAL Recommendations

- Innovation
- Development
- Exploration
- Assessment
- Long-term study

No surgical innovation without evaluation: The IDEAL recommendations. Lancet 2009; 374(9695):1105-12



The CUSUM evaluation technique

Quantitative assessment of individual performance with methods such the Cumulative Sums

Quantitative and individualized assessment of the learning curve using the LC-CUSUM. Biau DJ, Williams SM, Schlup MM, Nizard RS, Porcher R. Br J Surg. 2008; (95):925-29 Validation of the CUSUM test for the assessment of a learning curve: application to introduction of PSI for TKA in an academic department

- CUSUM test showed that positioning of the PS templates significantly differed from the target
- Study interrupted after 20 cases
- *De Gori M,Adamczweski B, Jenny JY. Knee. 2017;* 24(3):615-21



The learning curve for MIS Oxford phase 3 UKA: cumulative summation test for learning curve (LC-CUSUM)

 Group A (first 25 cases):2 revisions, 2 dislocations, 1 lateral OA
 Group B (26 to 50 cases): 1 revision for fracture

Zhang Q, Guo W, Liu Z et al. Arch Ger.2011 53(1):5-9



How to chose for design?



Choices

Use new TKA
Defer pre-op plan
Depend on rep.



Real Innovation ?





What is important for the surgeon ?

Reproducibility !















« Automatic Surgery »



Evaluate consequences of your cuts





More difficult



Femur – "Average"

• 6° Valgus



Tibia – "Average"

0° Coronal

7° Sagittal













Innovation from Industry



Education from Institution







Education: fellows



ASSISTANCE PUBLIQUE HÔPITAUX DE MARSEILLE And **INSTITUTE FOR LOCOMOTION** And **AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITY** WELCOME THE **2017 European Knee Society TRAVELLING FELLOWS Dr José Smolders** Dr Stefano Campi **Dr Tom Piscaer Dr Luc Vanlommel**





Solutions in 2020? Patient Specific Instrumentation





« Intelligent cutting guides »



Robotics



Patient-specific Planning

TOWARDS THE NEXT GENERATION OF ROBOTICS

	1st Generation	2 nd Generation	3rd Generation	NEXT Generation
Accuracy	++	~	~	++
Compact system			+	++
Seamless setup		-	+	++
Fast burring	+	-	-	++
Surgeon involved	-	++	++	++

Cost effective









THE CORRECT PRICE OF TECHNOLOGY



FINANCIAL PLANNING LONG TERM: THE CAR IS CHEAPER



No revolution, just evolution...



Never be the first, never be the last...



Avoid changes during the first five years of

your practice...



2020 Knee Arthroplasty Surgeon

Patient Prediction



Procedure Indication



Procedure Realization



IN COLLABORATION WITH:





2ND WORLD ARTHROPLASTY CONGRESS

19 - 21 APRIL 2018 | ROME, ITALY



Matthew Abdel, USA Paolo Adravanti, Italy Josè Cordero Ampuero, Spain Jean-Noël Argenson, France Andrea Baldini, Italy Gabriel Baron, Chile Robert Barrack, USA David Barrett, UK Johan Bellemans, Belgium Francesco Benazzo, Italy Dan Berry, USA Michel Bonnin, France Warwick Bruce, Australiaf Nicolaas Budhiparama, Indonesia Martin Buttaro, Argentina John Callaghan, USA Claudio Castelli, Italy Fabio Catani, Italy Julia Cesar Garcia, Columbia Henry Clarke, USA Justin Cobb. UK David Dalury, USA Chris Dodd, UK Mike Dunbar, Canada Jean-Alain Epinette, France Susanne Fuchs-Winkelmann, Germany Kevin Garvin, USA Klaus Peter Guenther, Germany Fares Haddad, UK George Haidukewych, USA Arlen Hanssen, USA Robert Hube, Germany Henrik Husted, Denmark Richard Iorio, USA Theofilos Karachalios, Greece Johan Karrholm, Sweden Raymond Kim, USA Per Kjaersgaard Andersen, Denmark

Rick Komistek, USA Viktor Krebs, USA Myung Chul Lee, South Korea David Lewallen, USA William Maloney, USA David Mayman, USA Steven McDonald, Canada Michael Meneghini, USA Arun Mullali, India Lo Ngai Nung, Singapore Douglas Padgett, USA Mark Pagnano, USA Sébastien Parratte, France Carsten Perka, Germany Chris Peters, USA Ashok Rajgopal, India Sergio Romagnoli, Italy Wim Schreurs, The Netherlands Norman Scott, USA Werner Siebert, Germany Jasmeet Singh Saren, Malaysia Evert Smith, UK Bryan Springer, USA Aree Tanavalee, Thailand Samih Tarabichi, UAE **Emmanuel Thienpont, Belgium** Mazhar Tokgozoglu, Turkey Anders Troelsen, Denmark Eleftherios Tsiridis, Greece Gosta Ullmark, Sweden Gijs Van Hellemondt, The Netherlands Jan Victor, Belgium William Walter, Australia Russell Windsor, USA Luigi Zagra, Italy Yixin Zhou, China